

March 19, 1975

that the bill, itself, if it is worthy of consideration by this body surely can be brought forth with 30 votes and if it doesn't have that kind of merits, I don't think it should be here. I hope that we will vote down this attempt at Rule change again.

PRESIDENT: Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'd support Senator Chambers motion and the other day when this was brought up I did not argue vigorously against Senator Koch's motion and apparently not vigorously enough because I didn't contemplate that it had any opportunity for success, and I was greatly surprised when it was successful. Senator Koch, in his speech of the other day, indicated that this was a reform motion, a reform rule. It is anything but a reform rule. The Congress of the United States, as we have all been observing, since the last election, has gone through the most revolutionary process probably in its history. The basis of which was to wrest power from those old and stodgy committee chairman who had controlled and strangled legislation in the Congress of the United States for generations with no other reason than the fact that they sat on chairmanships because they came from safe districts and served in the Congress for 20 or 30 years, and now in the guise of reform, Senator Koch would plunge this Legislature into that type of situation where you are going to create by changing the Rules, three or four people in this body of magnificent power, of power to control good legislation and bad legislation with a strangle hold on both and to totally frustrate the will of the people. 25 people in this body, 25 representatives of the people in this body can make law and you are creating a situation where three or four will almost be assured of denying any good legislation that happens to come to those committees. Three or four people, we are not talking about when a committee unanimously kills the bill, necessarily. We are talking about a majority of the committee and any strong chairman, and there are about three or four of them in this Legislature, can control three or four votes in their committee, and you would set them up as king of kings among us and among the people in the state of Nebraska. That is not reform. That is a tremendous step backward. This Rule should be changed. It has not been my experience that many frivolous bills have had the opportunity of being raised on this floor. Normally, the bills that are raised are important bills. Bills that deal with significant questions of public policy and bills that have been denied a good hearing in a committee because of the control of a special interest group upon a particular committee that that bill may have gone to and in most of those cases when the bills have been raised, it has been because there is an overriding public interest that 25 members of this body are aware of and we have got the obligation that the same number of people who can make law are the same number of people who can give a bill an opportunity for a fair and full hearing when it is important public policy question and this bill is a special interest bill...this Rule is a special interest Rule. This Rule is a lobby Rule. This Rule only enhances those people who can afford to maintain full time special interest peddlers and lobbyists in this Unicameral, who can, first of all, control the action of three or four members of a committee